


JMMM **290-291** (2005) 966-969 (proceedings of JEMS'04) version of 30 June 2004



www.elsevier.com/locate/jmmm

## Exchange constants and spin dynamics in $Mn_{12}$ -acetate

A. Honecker <sup>a</sup>, N. Fukushima <sup>a,1</sup>, B. Normand <sup>b</sup>, G. Chaboussant <sup>c</sup>, H.-U. Güdel <sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institut für Theoretische Physik, Technische Universität Braunschweig, Mendelssohnstr. 3, 38106 Braunschweig, Germany

<sup>b</sup> Département de Physique, Université de Fribourg, 1700 Fribourg, Switzerland

<sup>c</sup> Laboratoire Léon Brillouin, CEA-Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

<sup>d</sup> Departement für Chemie und Biochemie, Universität Bern, Freiestrasse 3, 3000 Bern 9, Switzerland

## Abstract

We have obtained new inelastic neutron scattering (INS) data for the molecular magnet Mn<sub>12</sub>-acetate which exhibit at least six magnetic peaks in the energy range 5–35 meV. These are compared with a microscopic Heisenberg model for the 12 quantum spins localised on the Mn ions, coupled by four inequivalent magnetic exchange constants. A fit to the magnetic susceptibility under the constraint that the spin of the ground state be S=10 yields two dominant exchange constants of very similar value,  $J_1 \approx J_2 \approx 65$  K ( $\approx 5.5$  meV), and two smaller exchange constants  $J_3$  and  $J_4$ . We compute the low-lying excitations by exact numerical diagonalisation and demonstrate that the parameters determined from the ground state and susceptibility fit provide qualitative agreement with the excitations observed by INS.

© 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

PACS: 75.30.Et; 75.50.Xx; 78.70.Nx

Keywords: Magnetic molecular materials; Heisenberg model; Susceptibility - magnetic; Neutron scattering - inelastic

Magnetic molecules present a fascinating new class of materials with a wide variety of applications (for a recent review see [1]). Coherent quantum phenomena in these mesoscopic systems are one focus of recent research [2]. Despite being among the first generation of molecular magnets to be synthesised,  $Mn_{12}$ -acetate [3] remains that with the largest barrier to thermally activated tunnelling. Although much work has been devoted to  $Mn_{12}$ -acetate over the past decade, the microscopic mechanisms for the observed low-energy phenomena have remained controversial.

Here we discuss a microscopic exchange model for  $Mn_{12}$ -acetate. Twelve quantum spins  $\mathbf{S}_i$  are coupled by Heisenberg exchange interactions

$$H = \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \tag{1}$$

with four different exchange constants  $J_1$ ,  $J_2$ ,  $J_3$  and  $J_4$ , as represented in Fig. 1.




Fig. 1. Magnetic exchange model for  $Mn_{12}$ -acetate. Arrows denote the twelve Mn ions: eight  $Mn^{3+}$  ions on the crown have local spin S=2 while four  $Mn^{4+}$  ions in the core have S=3/2. Lines show exchange paths with interaction parameters  $J_1$ ,  $J_2$ ,  $J_3$  and  $J_4$ .

Many experimental studies, including inelastic neutron scattering (INS) [4], show that the ground state (GS) of  $Mn_{12}$ -acetate has total spin S = 10. This may

 $<sup>^{1}\,</sup>$  Present address: University of the Saarland, Theoretische Physik, Geb. 38, 66123 Saarbrücken, Germany

be rationalised by considering an arrangement of 8 parallel spins S=2 on the crown  $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}$  ions oriented antiparallel to 4 aligned S=3/2 spins on the core  $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}$  ions (Fig. 1). The S=10 GS imposes a strong constraint on the allowed exchange constants in (1), excluding [5,6] a number of parameter sets proposed in the literature, such as that obtained by the *ab initio* local density approximation [7].

The magnetic susceptibility  $\chi$  is a valuable quantity in the determination of magnetic exchange constants. Fig. 2 shows two results for  $\chi$ , measured with an ordinary sample under an applied field of 1 T [8], and with a deuterated sample at 0.1 T [6]. Both data sets agree well for temperatures between 40 and 300 K despite the different conditions, demonstrating the reliability of the susceptibility measurement at high temperatures. Exchange constants can then be determined by comparison with a symbolic high-temperature series expansion. In combination with a numerical test of the S=10 ground-state requirement, this restricts the possible parameters to a narrow region around  $J_1 \approx J_2 \approx 60 \text{ K}$ ,  $J_3 \approx J_4 = 5$ –10 K [6].




Fig. 2. Static magnetic susceptibility. Filled and open circles are measured respectively on a deutered sample under a field of  $0.1~\mathrm{T}$  [6] and on a non-deuterated sample at  $1~\mathrm{T}$  [8]. Lines are obtained from an 8th-order high-temperature series for the parameter sets in Table 1.

Columns A, B and C of Table 1 list three choices of parameters in this region (parameter set A was used in [6]). Columns D and E contain the parameter sets proposed in [9] and [10] respectively. The lines in Fig. 2 show the susceptibility  $\chi$  obtained from an average of four different Padé approximants to the 8th-order high-temperature series [6] evaluated with the corresponding parameters. The last row of Table 1 lists the effective g-factor entering the absolute value of  $\chi$  (electron paramagnetic resonance [11] yields  $g_{\rm eff}=1.968$ ). Parameter sets A, B and C yield good agreement with the experimental results, whereas the results for sets D and E are in clear disagreement. We conclude that

the exchange constants proposed in Refs. [9,10] are incompatible with  $\chi$ .

A number of magnetic excitations in the range of 5 to 35 meV has been observed by INS experiments performed on different spectrometers [12,6]. The points in Fig. 3 show the spectrum obtained on the MARI spectrometer at ISIS with an incident energy  $E_i=17$  meV. Five magnetic excitations can be identified unambiguously in this data, and are shown by the lines in Fig. 3, which are fits with Gaussian curves on a linear background. Analysis of their Q- and T-dependence identifies these five excitations as magnetic and at least the lowest two of spin S=9 [6]. A further magnetic excitation at 27 meV is the first candidate for an S=11 excitation [6], in accord with high-field magnetisation measurements; this energy sets a lower bound for the numerical calculations




Fig. 3. Magnetic excitations. Points show the INS spectrum obtained on MARI with T=8 K,  $E_i=17$  meV and  $1 \le Q \le 2$  Å<sup>-1</sup>. Lines are Gaussian fits on a linear background. Letters represent numerical results for the S=9 excitations listed in Table 1 for the corresponding parameter choices. A constant energy 1.29 meV has been added to all calculated energies to account for magnetic anisotropy effects [6].

We have performed exact diagonalisation for the model Hamiltonian (1), both to verify the S=10 GS and to determine the low-lying excitations. The lowest excited states in the sectors with spin  $8 \le S \le 11$  are listed in Table 1 in ascending order of energy (for sets A, D and E these extend results presented in [6,9,10]). Spatial symmetry is described by a momentum k such that the wavefunction acquires a phase factor  $e^{ik}$  under a  $90^{\circ}$  rotation of the model in Fig. 1. The letters in Fig. 3 show the energies of the lowest S=9 excitations for the corresponding data sets in Table 1. A constant shift of 1.29 meV is added to all computed energies [6] to account for the uniaxial cluster anistropy. Parameter set D [9] provides only 4 instead of the observed 5 levels in the energy range of the figure. Although set E

Table 1 Energy E and symmetry k of low-lying excitations for a  $Mn_{12}$ -acetate exchange model with different parameter sets. Exchange constants are given in Kelvin [K]. The GS has spin S=10 in all five cases. No energetic correction is applied for uniaxial anisotropy of the cluster.

ropy of the cluster.										
	(A) [6]		(B)		(C)		(D) [9]		(E) [10]	
	$J_1 = 67.2,$	$J_2 = 61.8,$	$J_1 = 64.5,$	$J_2 = 60.3,$	$J_1 = 64,$	$J_2 = 65,$	$J_1 = 119,$	$J_2 = 118,$	$J_1 = 115$	$J_2 = 84,$
	$J_3 = 7.8,$	$J_4 = 5.6$	$J_3 = 4.2,$	$J_4 = 6.3$	$J_3 = 11$	$J_4 = 4$	$J_3 = -8$	$J_4 = 23$	$J_3 = -4$	$J_4 = 17$
	E [K]	k	E[K]	k	E [K]	k	E[K]	k	E [K]	k
S=8	56.52	$\pi$	58.07	$\pi$	57.13	$\pi$	68.12	$\pi$	78.39	$\pi$
	59.49	$\pi$	61.08	$\pi$	60.18	$\pi$	69.81	0	82.26	0
	61.07	0	61.78	0	62.37	0	73.55	$\pi$	83.49	$\pi$
S = 9	28.48	$\pm \pi/2$	29.15	$\pm \pi/2$	28.92	$\pm \pi/2$	33.99	$\pm \pi/2$	39.13	$\pm \pi/2$
	44.47	$\pi$	40.71	$\pi$	48.22	$\pi$	35.76	$\pi$	45.43	$\pi$
	91.46	0	81.82	0	102.96	0	65.11	0	77.12	0
	119.67	$\pm \pi/2$	113.42	$\pm \pi/2$	132.51	$\pm \pi/2$	174.55	$\pm \pi/2$	124.63	$\pm \pi/2$
	159.61	$\pi$	154.43	$\pi$	175.62	$\pi$	267.13	$\pi$	179.93	$\pi$
	304.45	0	297.02	0	308.05	$\pi$	501.03	0	436.52	0
S = 10	293.74	$\pm \pi/2$	285.63	$\pm \pi/2$	295.02	$\pm \pi/2$	507.87	$\pi$	435.95	$\pi$
	297.30	$\pi$	289.27	$\pi$	297.79	$\pi$	509.01	$\pm \pi/2$	436.83	$\pm \pi/2$
S = 11	285.58	0	274.17	0	287.41	0	510.38	0	429.66	0
	303.23	$\pm \pi/2$	313.84	$\pm \pi/2$	290.80	$\pm \pi/2$	696.91	$\pm \pi/2$	537.96	$\pm \pi/2$
$g_{ m eff}$	1.935		1.935		1.92		2.12		2.1	

[10] yields qualitative agreement with the lowest S=9 excitations, it is not only inconsistent with  $\chi$ , but also fails to explain the magnetic excitations observed by INS around 30 meV [6].

Parameter sets A, B and C all provide qualitative agreement with the five S=9 excitations, and the lowest S=11 excitation, observed by INS. Set A yields the best quantitative agreement [6], while B and C yield an estimate of the error bars: although the individual  $J_i$  values differ by no more than 3.2 K, the low-lying S=9 levels may shift by as much as 16 K (1.4 meV) from set A to set C. This demonstrates the high sensitivity of the excitation spectrum to small changes in the exchange constants.

Transitions from the S=10 GS to states with  $S\leq 8$  are not observable by INS due to selection rules. However, our results predict further low-lying excitations with S<9. In particular, the S=8 excitations in Table 1 may be interpreted as scattering states of a pair of the lowest S=9 states ( $k=\pm\pi/2$ ).

In summary, we have determined the microscopic exchange parameters of Mn<sub>12</sub>-acetate as  $J_1 \approx J_2 \approx 65$  K, and  $J_3$ ,  $J_4 \approx 5$ –10 K. Earlier proposals [5,9,10] are inconsistent with the magnetic susceptibility, and do not match our new INS results. Further improvements to the optimal parameter set would require a treatment of

the uniaxial anisotropies at the single-ion level, which would be expected to reduce the spread of the S=9 levels, thereby improving agreement with the INS data.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation, the TMR programme Molnanomag of the European Union (No: HPRN-CT-1999-00012), and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through grant SU 229/6-1.

## References

- [1] J. Schnack, Lect. Notes Phys. **645**, 155 (2004).
- [2] I. Tupitsyn and B. Barbara, in *Magnetism: Molecules to Materials III*, edited by J.S. Miller and M. Drillon, (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2002).
- [3] R. Sessoli et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115, 1804 (1993).
- 4] I. Mirebeau et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 628 (1999).
- C. Raghu et al., Phys. Rev. B 64, 064419 (2001).
- [6] G. Chaboussant et al., preprint cond-mat/0404194, to appear in Phys. Rev. B.
- [7] D.W. Boukhvalov et al., Phys. Rev. B 65, 184435 (2002).
- [8] A.R. Schake et al., Inorg. Chem. 33, 6020 (1994).
- [9] N. Regnault *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 054409 (2002).
- [10] K. Park et al., Phys. Rev. B 69, 014416 (2004).
- [11] A.L. Barra et al., Phys. Rev. B 56, 8192 (1997).
- [12] M. Hennion et al., Phys. Rev. B 56, 8819 (1997).